Important Information for Tentative Rulings and Hearings:

1.	Please review and follow the Tentative Ruling Instructions which can be found on the Court's website
	using the following link: <u>https://sf.courts.ca.gov/divisions/unified-family-court/ufc-tentative-rulings</u> .
2.	If you wish to make an objection to the Tentative Ruling in your case, you must notify the other party
	(unless there is a restraining order in place) and the Court Clerk in the Department where the hearing
	is scheduled of your objection by 4:00 PM the Court day prior to the hearing date. Court days do not
	include Court holidays, Saturdays, or Sundays. The Court's Holiday Schedule can be found on the
	Court's website using the following link: <u>https://sf.courts.ca.gov/general-information/holiday-</u>
	schedules.
3.	To contact the Court Clerk in Dept. 403 to make an objection to the Tentative Ruling in your case,
	please call (415) 551–3741 or send an email to <u>Department403@sftc.org</u> .
4.	To contact the Court Clerk in Dept. 404 to make an objection to the Tentative Ruling in your case,
	please call (415) 551–3744 or send an email to <u>Department404@sftc.org</u> .
5.	When you contact the Court Clerk to make an objection to the Tentative Ruling in your case, please
	specify the paragraph(s) and / or line number(s) of the Tentative Ruling which contains the language
	to which you object.
6.	You may appear at your hearing either (a) in-person; (b) by video; or (c) by phone. Pursuant to SFLR
	11.7(D)(4), if you choose to appear by video or phone, you must be continuously connected to Zoom
	from 8:50 a.m. until 12:00 p.m. or until your hearing is concluded. If you fail to appear in-person, by
	video, or phone, the Court may proceed with the hearing in your absence. The Court is not required to
	contact you before your hearing.
7.	If you choose to appear by video or by phone, you must comply with the Notice and Instructions for
	Remote Appearances in San Francisco Family Court set forth below.

Ш

1 2	SAN FRANCISCO SUPERIOR COURT UNIFIED FAMILY COURT NOTICE AND INSTRUCTIONS FOR REMOTE APPEARANCES					
3						
	You may appear at your court hearing either (1) in-person or (2) remotely by video or telephone. If					
4 5	you fail to appear in-person or remotely by video or telephone, the court may proceed with the hearing in your absence. <i>The clerk will NOT contact you</i> . Remote appearances by video or telephone can be made utilizing the ZOOM platform, effective January 2, 2024 :					
6	indue definiting the 200 km planterin, effective building 2, 202 fr					
7	• If you are <i>joining by video</i> , go to www.zoom.com/join and follow the instructions below:					
8	 Type in the Meeting ID (<i>see below for department Meeting IDs and Passcodes</i>) and click "Join". Click "Launch Meeting" then "Open zoom.us". 					
9 10	 Zoom will launch and you will be asked for the Meeting Passcode. <i>Enter the passcode for your Meeting ID for the respective department for your court hearing.</i> Enable your camera and click "Join". 					
11 12	 Once you join, a prompt to use computer audio will appear, click "Join with Computer Audio". <i>Enter your full first and last name TO IDENTIFY YOURSELF TO THE COURT.</i> 					
12	• Using headphones may help you hear more clearly.					
13 14	• If you are <i>joining by phone</i> , dial 1-(669)254-5252 or 1-(669)216-1590 and enter the Meeting ID and Passcode as described below.					
15	Department 403					
16	Meeting ID: 161 463 0304					
	Passcode: 114482					
17 18	You can also log into your hearing directly using the link below: https://sftc-org.zoomgov.com/j/1614630304?pwd=OTZ1cVZaQIRYWXpFQ2hTaEFuZnhIZz09					
19	Department 404					
20	Meeting ID: 161 305 3325					
20	Passcode: 282709					
21	You can also log into your hearing directly using the link below:					
22	https://sftc-org.zoomgov.com/j/1613053325?pwd=SkdXWGVkQkowckJSNnJwSSttYkR6dz09					
23	When you join the hearing on Zoom:					
24	 You are to mute your audio when you are not speaking. State your name before you speak for proper identification to the court and for all the parties in 					
25	your case. Only one person MUST speak at a time.					
26	PROHIBITION ON RECORDING: Do not record the hearing in any way. Any recording of a court					
20	proceeding, <i>including screen shots, other visual or audio copying</i> of the hearing, is prohibited. Any violation is punishable to the fullest extent under the law, including but not limited to monetary sanctions					
28	up to \$1,000, restricted entry to future hearings, or other sanctions deemed appropriate by the court.					
29						

SUPERIOR CC	DURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY O	F SAN FRANCISCO
UNIFIED	FAMILY COURT
COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,)) Case Number: FCS-22-355719
Petitioner)) Hearing Date: April 25, 2024
VS.)) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM
DEVONTA LOFTON,)) Department: 404
Respondent)) Presiding: MICHELLE TONG
))
REQUEST FOR ORDER FOR CHANGE OF C	HANGE OF CHILD CUSTODY, VISITATION
(PARENTING TIME)	
TENTA	ATIVE RULING
Appearances required for the parties to d	iscuss Father's parenting schedule due to karate. The
parties may appear in-person, by video, or by	phone. If a party chooses to appear by video or by
phone, that party must abide by the Notice an	d Instructions for Remote Appearances in San
Francisco Family Court set forth above.	
At the hearing the Court intends to adopt the	e following findings and orders.
Having read and considered the pleadings, d	eclarations, and other evidence submitted in this matter,
the Court makes the following findings and orde	rs:
1) This Court has jurisdiction to make child cus	stody orders in this case under the Uniform Child
Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act.	

- 24 2) The matter is on for a review of Father's visitation with child.
- 25 3) Mother filed an updated declaration.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

27

- 26 4) Father did not file an updated declaration.
- 5) The Court finds it is in the best interest of the minor to maintain the current child custody and 28 visitation that has been in effect since January 2, 2024 and February 15, 2024.
- The parties are reminded to not communicate with each other via text or calls. 29 6)

1	7) The Court sets a final review hearing on visitation for $7/2/2024$ at 9:00AM in Department 404.	
2	8) The parties shall file and serve updated declarations about visitation at least 10 days before the next	
3	court date.	
4	9) The Court will prepare the order.	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
29		

1	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA						
2	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO						
3	UN	IFIED FAMILY COURT					
4							
5							
6	MIKI SUSAN ITO,)) Case Number: FDI-14-780885					
7	Petitioner) Hearing Date: April 25, 2024					
8	VS.) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM					
9	GARY ALAN VOGT,) Department: 404					
10	Respondent) Presiding: MICHELLE TONG					
11)					
12	OTHER REVIEW HEARING						
13	Т	ENTATIVE RULING					
14	Appearances required to discuss the	erapist update. The parties may appear in-person, by video,					
15	or by phone. If a party chooses to appear by video or by phone, that party must abide by the Notice						
16	and Instructions for Remote Appearances in San Francisco Family Court set forth above.						
17	At the hearing, the Court intends to adopt the following findings and orders.						
18	Having read and considered the pleadi	ngs, declarations, and other evidence submitted in this matter,					
19	the Court makes the following findings and orders:						
20	1) This Court has jurisdiction to make child custody orders in this case under the Uniform Child						
21	Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement	Act.					
22	2) The matter is on for a review of Mothe	er's visitation with child.					
23	3) Both sides filed updated declarations.						
24	4) The Court finds it is in the best interest of the minor to maintain the current child custody and						
25	visitation that has been in effect Febru	ary 21, 2024.					
26	5) The Court is concerned about Mother'	s text content to the children about the meeting locations.					
27	6) Parties are reminded not to discuss with the children court proceedings.						
28	7) The Court will prepare the order.						
29							

1	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA					
2	COUNTY OF SAN F	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO				
3	UNIFIED FAMILY	COURT				
4						
5						
6	SCOTT CHARLES WALDEN, Case	se Number: FDI-18-789249				
7	Petitioner	aring Date: April 25, 2024				
8	VS.	aring Time: 9:00 AM				
9	THO THI THIEN NGUYEN,	partment: 404				
10	Respondent	siding: MICHELLE TONG				
11)					
12	REQUEST FOR ORDER : TEMPORARY EMERGENCY	ORDER, CHILD CUSTODY, VISITATION				
13	(PARENTING TIME), SEE SECTION 8					
14	TENTATIVE RU	JLING				
15	Having read and considered the pleadings, declarations, and other evidence submitted in this matter, the					
16	Court makes the following findings and orders:					
17	1) This Court has jurisdiction to make child custody orders in this case under the Uniform Child					
18	Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act.					
19	2) The parties have one child together, Baxter, age 11.					
20	3) Mother attended mediation.					
21	4) Father did not file a responsive pleading and Father did not attend mediation.					
22	5) The Court finds it is in the best interest of the child to maintain the joint legal and joint physical					
23	custody of child that has been in effect since the September 2019 Stipulation.					
24	6) The Court finds it is in the best interest of the child to r	makes the additional following orders:				
25	a. Mother shall have sole legal decision making r	related to child's education and health effective				
26	immediately.					
27	b. Mother's spouse is allowed to participate in the	e exchanges of minor child.				
28	c. Each side shall have one (1) 14-day vacation d	luring the summer months (June and July) with				
29	a minimum of 90 days' notice to the other pare	ent.				

1	i. The parent that provides 90 days' notice has vacation priority.
2	ii. Itinerary for travel and accommodations must be provided to the other parent.
3	iii. International travel requires the written consent of the other parent. Consent cannot
4	be unreasonably withheld.
5	iv. The international traveling parent shall request a court order for international travel if
6	consent is unreasonably withheld.
7	7) Father is ordered to cooperate with Mother by 5:00PM on April 30, 2024, to renew child's passport.
8	a. The last traveling parent shall maintain possession of Baxter's passport unless and until the
9	other parent needs the passport for travel.
10	b. Child's passport shall be provided to the traveling parent at least 30 days prior to travel.
11	8) Mother's request for an order stating that "Father shall not be permitted to go to or enter Mother's
12	house located in Stockton, CA (currently rented out to tenants) that was purchased by Mother after
13	separation and with respect to which Father had signed paperwork stating Mother was buying the
14	house as a single woman" is denied without prejudice to a new motion. It appears that the portion of
15	Mother's declaration that was supposed to address this issue was cutoff. As such the Court does not
16	know the bases for Mother's request.
17	9) All other orders not in conflict with this order shall remain in full force and effect.
18	10) Mother's counsel shall prepare the order.
19	11) Preparation of Order : If you are directed by the court to prepare the order after hearing $-$ within 10
20	calendar days of the hearing you must either: (a) Serve the proposed order to the other party/counsel
21	for approval, and follow the procedures set forth in CA Rules of Court, Rule 5.125(c), or (b) If the
22	other party did not appear or the matter was uncontested, submit the proposed order after hearing
23	directly to the court. Failure to submit the order after hearing within 10 days may allow the other
24	party to prepare a proposed order and submit it to the court in accordance with CA Rules of Court,
25	Rule 5.125(d).
26	
27	

1	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA		
2	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO		
3	UNIFIED FAMILY COURT		
4			
5			
6	ALFRED KINNEY HORN, Case Number: FDI-21-794325		
7	Petitioner) Hearing Date: April 25, 2024		
8	VS. Hearing Time: 9:00 AM		
9	ANNA HALL HORN, Department: 404		
10	Respondent) Presiding: MICHELLE TONG		
11)		
12	REQUEST FOR ORDER CONFIRM POST-SEPARATION ACCOUNTING AND ALLOCATION OF		
13	ACCOUNTS, QDRO ENFORCEMENT, SANCTIONS		
14	TENTATIVE RULING		
15	Having read and considered the pleadings, declarations, and other evidence submitted in this matter, the		
16	Court makes the following findings and orders:		
17	A. Procedural History		
18	1) On for hearing is Petitioner's Request for Order filed 1/29/2024 asking the Court to order		
19	Respondent to: (a) pay Petitioner \$26,113.99 to equalize the division of Schwab accounts ending		
20	in #563 and #1408 and USAA accounts ending in #3543, #3551, #765, #7062, #866, and #4184;		
21	(b) stipulate that Petitioner's IRA accounts ending in #554 and #107 are his separate property and		
22	IRA account ending in #687 is Respondent's separate property or complete Moon, Schwartz &		
23	Madden's intake form within 10 days; (c) comply and cooperate with Moon, Schwartz &		
24	Madden's additional requests for funds, documents, signatures and information within 15 days of		
25	the request to deal with the division of Petitioner's Schwab 401(k) ending in #563; (d) pay		
26	\$10,000 in sanctions for "having to file this motion based on her failure to respond to meet and		
27	confer requests, and failure to timely respond to Moon, Schwartz and Madden's requests or agree		
28	with her own advisor's analysis as it relates to the allocation and division of the three IRAs" or		
29	\$15,000 "if an evidentiary hearing is required."		

Ш

	1			
1		2) On 4/12/2024, Respondent filed a Responsive Declaration asking the Court to (a) deny		
2	Petitioner's request for confirmation of post-separation accounting, or in the alternative, set the			
3	matter for a long cause hearing to include past support owed; (b) grant Petitioner's request for the			
4			allocati	ion of accounts and set a date for Petitioner to pay Respondent "the full amount"; (c) grant
5			Petition	ner's request for enforcement of QDRO; and (d) deny Petitioner's request for sanctions.
6		3)	On 4/1	8/2024, Petitioner filed a Reply Declaration reiterating his original requests.
7	B .	Fir	ndings a	nd Orders
8		1)	USAA	accounts ending in #3543, #3551, #765, #7062, #866, and #4184
9			a.	Respondent did not refute Petitioner's statement that on the parties' 10/12/2020 date of
10				separation, USAA accounts ending in #3543, #3551, #765, #7062, #866, and #4184 held
11				a collective balance of \$32,443, and so the Court accepts this assertion as fact.
12			b.	Based on the evidence presented, the Court finds that between 10/12/2020 (the parties'
13				date of separation) and 7/27/2021 (the date the parties stopped living together and sharing
14				expenses), funds were spent from these accounts on joint and family expenses in excess
15				of \$32,443.
16			c.	Petitioner claims he deposited separate property funds into these accounts between
17				10/12/2020 and $7/21/2021$ that were used to pay family expenses (in excess of \$32,443).
18	Respondent similarly claims she used separate property funds to pay for family expenses			
19				during this period. The parties further agree that if the Court awards reimbursement to
20				Petitioner for separate property contributions to family expenses during this period, the
21				Court should also award Respondent unpaid support for this period. However, Paragraphs
22				10.1, 10.9.1, and 10.9.5 of the parties' Marital Settlement Agreement (incorporated into
23				the parties' Judgment filed 1/6/2023) specifically contain waivers of claims against each
24				other for reimbursement (including for payment of community obligations after date of
25				separation) and unpaid support. Moreover, the MSA contains no clear reservation of
26				jurisdiction over either party's reimbursement claims for separate property contributions
27				to family expenses after date of separation or for unpaid support to Respondent.
28			d.	The Court finds that both parties have waived their reimbursement claims for separate
29				property funds used to pay family expenses following date of separation.

1	e. The Court finds that the community funds held in USAA accounts ending in #3543,
2	#3551, #765, #7062, #866, and #4184 were completely expended on community
3	obligations following date of separation and therefore no community property remains in
4	those accounts to be divided.
5	f. As the USAA accounts ending in #3543, #3551, #765, #7062, #866, and #4184 are in
6	Petitioner's name alone, Petitioner's request that these accounts be awarded to him is
7	granted.
8	2) Schwab Account #1408
9	a. Per Paragraph 5.7 of the parties' MSA, "Schwab #1408 shall be divided equally and the
10	account closed."
11	3) Petitioner's Schwab IRA accounts ending in #554 and #107, Respondent's Schwab IRA account
12	ending in #687, and Petitioner's Schwab 401(k) ending in #563
13	a. As Respondent has not stipulated that Petitioner's Schwab IRA accounts ending in #554
14	and #107 are his separate property and IRA account ending in #687 is Respondent's
15	separate property, the Court will not make this finding at this time.
16	b. Respondent shall complete Moon, Schwartz & Madden's intake form within 10 days.
17	c. The parties shall comply and cooperate with Moon, Schwartz & Madden's additional
18	requests for funds, documents, signatures and information within 15 days of the request.
19	4) Petitioner's Request for Sanctions
20	a. The Court does not find that the full amount of sanctions requested by Petitioner are
21	warranted given that it appears the majority of the fees that he is requesting were spent to
22	pursue claims that the Court finds Petitioner waived within the MSA.
23	b. The Court does find, however, that Respondent (a) failed to meet and confer in good faith
24	following Petitioner's attorney's 5/16/2023 letter to Respondent's counsel and (b) failed
25	to timely comply with requests for information from Moon, Schwartz & Madden. These
26	instances of inaction increased Petitioner's attorney's fees and are sanctionable. The
27	Court hereby awards to Petitioner \$2,000 in sanctions under Family Code section 271 and
28	Section 24 of the parties' MSA (requiring the parties to timely execute all documents
29	necessary to effectuate the terms of their agreement and requiring reimbursement of

1	attorney's fees caused by a failure to carry out the terms of the agreement). Respondent
2	shall pay to Petitioner \$2,000 no later than 4/26/2024 at 5:00 PM.
3	5) Preparation of Order
4	a. Petitioner's attorney shall prepare the order.
5	b. Preparation of Order : If you are directed by the court to prepare the order after hearing
6	- within 10 calendar days of the hearing you must either: (a) Serve the proposed order to
7	the other party/counsel for approval, and follow the procedures set forth in CA Rules of
8	Court, Rule 5.125(c), or (b) If the other party did not appear or the matter was
9	uncontested, submit the proposed order after hearing directly to the court. Failure to
10	submit the order after hearing within 10 days may allow the other party to prepare a
11	proposed order and submit it to the court in accordance with CA Rules of Court, Rule
12	5.125(d).
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
20 27	
27	
20	

1	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA			
2	COUNTY C	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO		
3	UNIFIED	FAMILY COURT		
4				
5				
6	KRISTIN BUTTERFIELD,)) Case Number: FDI-21-795621		
7	Petitioner) Hearing Date: April 25, 2024		
8	VS.) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM		
9	WILLIAM KENNEDY,)) Department: 404		
10	Respondent) Presiding: MICHELLE TONG		
11)		
12	REQUEST FOR ORDER FOR CHANGE OF C	HILD CUSTODY, VISITATION (PARENTING TIME),		
13	PSYCHOLOGICAL EVALUATION OF PETIT	TIONER		
14	TENTA	ATIVE RULING		
15	Having read and considered the pleadings, declarations, and other evidence submitted in this matter, the			
16	Court makes the following findings and orders:			
17	1) This Court has jurisdiction to make child custody orders in this case under the Uniform Child			
18	Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act.			
19	2) The parties filed a stipulation and custody evaluation for judicial review on April 22, 2024.			
20	3) The Court has been informed that there are no open or pending CPS investigations.			
21	4) The parties are ordered to return to mediation on Monday, 7/2/2024 at 1:30 PM with Celia Wang.			
22	5) The Court sets a review hearing for July 30, 2024, in Department 404 at 9:00AM for an update on			
23	Father's November 2023 Request for Order.			
24	6) Father's counsel shall prepare the order.			
25	7) Preparation of Order : If you are directed b	by the court to prepare the order after hearing $-$ within 10		
26	calendar days of the hearing you must either	:: (a) Serve the proposed order to the other party/counsel		
27	for approval, and follow the procedures set f	forth in CA Rules of Court, Rule 5.125(c), or (b) If the		
28	other party did not appear or the matter was	uncontested, submit the proposed order after hearing		
29	directly to the court. Failure to submit the o	rder after hearing within 10 days may allow the other		

party to prepare a proposed order and submit it to the court in accordance with CA Rules of Court,

Rule 5.125(d).

1	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA		
2	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO		
3	UNIFIED FAMILY COURT		
4			
5			
6	LORI SUSANNE KOHLER, Case Number: FDI-23-798703		
7	Petitioner Hearing Date: April 25, 2024		
8	VS. Hearing Time: 9:00 AM		
9	MICHELE MARIE SINGH, Department: 404		
10	Respondent) Presiding: MICHELLE TONG		
11)		
12	REQUEST FOR ORDER ENTER JUDGMENT OF NULITY OF MARRIAGE		
13	TENTATIVE RULING		
14	Having read and considered the pleadings, declarations, and other evidence submitted in this matter, the		
15	Court makes the following findings and orders:		
16	1) This matter is on for hearing on Petitioner's Request for Order filed 2/22/2024.		
17	2) Petitioner has not filed a Proof of Service and Respondent has not filed a Responsive Declaration to		
18	the Request for Order.		
19	3) The matter is therefore continued to Thursday, 7/25/2024 at 9:00 AM in Dept. 404 to provide time to		
20	Petitioner to effectuate proper service.		
21	4) Petitioner shall have Respondent served with her Request for Order and all related moving papers		
22	(including the Tentative Ruling Instructions) in addition to a copy of this order of continuance by the		
23	statutory deadlines set forth in Code of Civil Procedure section 1005.		
24	5) If Petitioner does not file a Proof of Service evidencing compliance with the above order at least 10		
25	calendar days prior to the next hearing date, the hearing date may be removed from calendar.		
26	6) Petitioner's counsel shall prepare the order.		
27	7) Preparation of Order : If you are directed by the court to prepare the order after hearing – within 10		
28	calendar days of the hearing you must either: (a) Serve the proposed order to the other party/counsel		
29	for approval, and follow the procedures set forth in CA Rules of Court, Rule 5.125(c), or (b) If the		

other party did not appear or the matter was uncontested, submit the proposed order after hearing directly to the court. Failure to submit the order after hearing within 10 days may allow the other party to prepare a proposed order and submit it to the court in accordance with CA Rules of Court, Rule 5.125(d).

1	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA				
2	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO				
3	UNIFIED FAMILY COURT				
4					
5					
6	ALEXANDER KASHTAN,	Case Number: FDI-23-798711			
7	Petitioner)	Hearing Date: April 25, 2024			
8	VS.	Hearing Time: 9:00 AM			
9	JOHANNA MLINAR,	Department: 404			
10	Respondent	Presiding: MICHELLE TONG			
11)				
12	REQUEST FOR ORDER: PROPERTY CONTROL; REQUEST FOR ORDER RE: ATTORNEY FEES				
13	AND COSTS				
14	TENTATIVE RULING				
15	Per agreement of the parties, the hearing on Petitioner's Request for Order Filed 2/21/2024 and				
16	Respondent's Request for Order Filed 2/26/2024 is hereby continued to Thursday, 7/11/2024 at 9:00 AM				
17	in Dept. 404.				
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
29					

1	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA				
2	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO				
3	UNIFIED FAMILY COURT				
4					
5					
6	KIMBERLY JEFFERSON,	Case Number: FDV-21-815983			
7	Petitioner ()	Hearing Date: April 25, 2024			
8	VS.	Hearing Time: 9:00 AM			
9	MATTHEW DUDLEY,	Department: 404			
10	Respondent	Presiding: MICHELLE TONG			
11)				
12	OTHER REVIEW HEARING				
13	TENTATIVE RULING				
14	Having read and considered the pleadings, declarations, and other evidence submitted in this matter, the				
15	Court makes the following findings and orders:				
16	1) This Court has jurisdiction to make child custody orders in this case under the Uniform Child				
17	Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act.				
18	2) The matter is on for a review of Mother's visitation with child.				
19	3) Both sides filed updated declarations.				
20	4) The Court orders both parents to refrain from calling each other names; accusing each other of being				
21	unfit parents; insulting each other and cursing at	each other. The Court finds both parents are			
22	disrespectful, rude and discourteous towards each other in their text exchanges.				
23	5) The Court finds it is in the best interest of the mi	nor to maintain the current child custody and			
24	visitation that has been in effect since March 1, 2	2024 which is as follows:			
25	a. Week one: Friday after school until Sund	lay 7:30PM Boeddeker Park with Mother			
26	b. Week two: Monday after school until We	ednesday drop off at school with Mother			
27	c. Exchanges occur at school when in sessi	on.			
28	d. Exchanges occur at Boeddeker Park whe	en there is no school			
29	6) The parties are reminded to follow the Court orders and schedules.				

1	7)	The Court sets a final court date to review visitation for 7/11/2024 at 9:00AM in Dept. 404.
2	8)	Parties shall file and serve updated declarations 10 days before the next court date.
3	9)	The Court will prepare the order.
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22 23		
23 24		
24		
26		
27		
28		
29		
	1	